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Service-oriented architectures (SOA)
Software architecture

There are many definitions of software architecture

For instance, following Bass, Clements, and Kazman. *Software Architecture in Practice*, Addison-Wesley, 1997:

- Every software has an architecture
- Architecture defines components and their interactions
- Interfaces (externally visible behavior) of each component are part of the architecture
- Interfaces allow components to interact with each other
- A system comprises many different kinds of components, but none of these is *the* architecture
Complexity and change

Software architecture is a metaphor that helps us to better cope with the challenges we see in today’s software systems.

These challenges are described by a number of so-called “Laws of Software Evolution” (Lehman and Belady, 1980). The two most prominent are:

- Law of continuing change
- Law of increasing complexity (entropy)

But: Software architectures are not easy to document, create, and maintain.

⇒ Description of the architecture using quality attributes
⇒ Software architectural principles
Architectural quality attributes (1)

- Quality of an architecture ~ essential attributes for the fulfillment of the requirements
- Factors that are important to make an architecture good or bad

→ ISO 9126 International Standard for the Evaluation of Software

→ System by Bass, Clement, and Kazman
  - *System quality attributes*: availability, reliability, maintainability, understandability, changeability, evolvability, testability, portability, efficiency, scalability, security, integrability, reusability, . . .
  - *Business quality attributes*: time to market, costs, projected lifetime, targeted market, legacy system integration, roll-out schedule, . . .
  - *Architecture quality attributes*: conceptual integrity, correctness, completeness, buildability, . . .
Architectural quality attributes (2)

- Architects must find a proper balance between the quality attributes
- Many architectural choices influence the qualities of the architecture
- The quality attributes are generally hard to quantify objectively
Software architectural principles (1)

- More concrete guidelines than quality attributes are needed to make informed decisions about the architecture

- System of software architecture principles:
  - Principles have mainly been presented in the context of other fields than architecture (OO, software engineering, ...)
  - Here: we explicitly focus on the architectural meaning of those principles
  - Result: system of principles with rich interdependencies
  - Loose coupling is central to building software architectures that can cope both with increasing complexity and continuing change
Principles are not sufficient for building architectures because they provide only general guidelines. For creating and maintaining software architectures more concrete guidelines are necessary → Software patterns, reference architectures, …
Loosely coupled architectures and SOA

Software architecture

Loosely coupled architecture
Loosely coupled architectures and SOA

Software architecture

Loosely coupled architecture

SOA  AOP  Distributed object system  Wrapper architecture  Adapter architecture  ESB  ...
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Loosely coupled architectures and SOA
Service-oriented architectures (SOA)

- A service-oriented architecture (SOA) is essentially a collection of services that are able to communicate with each other.
- Each service is the endpoint of a connection, which can be used to access the service and interconnect different services.
- Communication among services can involve only simple invocations and data passing, or complex coordinated activities of two or more services.
- In this sense, service-oriented architectures are nothing new.
SOA: Properties

- Services offer public, invokable interfaces
- These interfaces are defined using interface description languages
- Each interaction is independent of each other interaction
- Many protocols are used and co-exist
- Platform-independent

SOA is an architectural concept, not a specific technology:
  - Nothing new (many CORBA systems realize SOAs, for instance)
  - Not equal to Web services (just one technology to realize SOAs)
SOA: Goals

- SOAs are not well-defined at the moment and there is not much architectural guidance how to design a SOA
  - Many definitions and guides are focused on concrete technologies
  - Not on the essential elements of the architecture
- Providing an architectural framework for Service-Oriented Architectures (SOA)
- Survey of patterns relevant for building SOAs
- Towards a reference architecture based on software patterns
Patterns and pattern languages
Pattern definition

- Software patterns provide reusable solutions to recurring design problems in a specific context

- Pattern definition by Alexander: A pattern is a three-part rule, which expresses a relation between a certain context, a problem, and a solution.

- Heavily simplified definition

- Alexander’s definition is much longer. Summary by Jim Coplien:

  Each pattern is a three-part rule, which expresses a relation between a certain context, a certain system of forces which occurs repeatedly in that context, and a certain software configuration which allows these forces to resolve themselves.
Elements of a pattern

- Name
- Context
- Problem
- Solution
- Forces
- Consequences
- Examples/Known Uses
- Pattern Relationships
Kinds of patterns

- Last couple of years: Patterns have become part of the mainstream of OO software development

- Different kinds of patterns:
  - Design patterns (GoF)
  - Software architecture patterns (POSA, POSA2, SEI)
  - Analysis patterns (Fowler, Hay)
  - Organizational patterns (Coplien)
  - Pedagogical patterns (PPP)
  - Many others

- Many of the patterns in this tutorial are architectural patterns
Software architecture patterns

● Problem: Quality attributes and principles are very generic and hard to use for concrete solving problems

● Goal: Concrete, but yet generic guidelines for documenting, creating, and maintaining SW architectures

● Solution: Software architecture patterns
  = Patterns working in the architectural realm
  → Most patterns presented in this tutorial are architectural patterns
From patterns to pattern languages

- Single pattern = one solution to a particular, recurring problem

- However: “Real problems” are more complex → Pattern relationships:
  - Compound patterns
  - Family of patterns
  - Collection or system of patterns
  - Pattern languages

- Pattern languages:
  - Language-wide goal
  - Generative
  - Sequences → has to be applied in a specific order
  - Pattern defines its place in the language → context, resulting context
Example: Pattern language overview diagram – Basic remoting patterns

Interface Description

Client Proxy
- uses to build up requests
- uses to send requests and receive replies
- raises

Requestor
- raises

Invoker
- raises
- dispatches requests to

Remoting Error
- raises

Remote Object
- dispatches invocation to

Marshaller
- uses for marshalling/de-marshalling

Server Request Handler

Client Request Handler
- describes interface of Remote Object
- uses for marshalling/de-marshalling
- raises
Patterns and SOA

- Pattern-based approach enables a broad, platform-independent view on SOAs that still contains all relevant details about the technical realization alternatives.

- Many architectural patterns are important for SOAs:
  - General software architecture (POSA, SEI, ...)
  - Pattern languages for remoting, messaging, resource management
  - Networked and concurrent objects
  - Object-oriented design
  - Component and language integration
  - e-business, process-driven architectures, business objects, and workflow systems

- Domain-specific combination of these patterns – in the SOA domain
Pattern-based reference architecture for SOAs

Reference architecture

General architecture knowledge
- Software patterns
- Architectural patterns
- Architecture principles
- Architectural concepts

Reference model

Software architecture

derived

- Principles are used in a specific way
- Specific quality attributes are in focus
- SOAs are described in a technology-neutral fashion
- Nonetheless: Concrete guidelines are given
Basic service architecture
Basic SOA concept

- A service offers a remote interface with a well-defined INTERFACE DESCRIPTION
- The interface description contains all interface details about the service
- The service advertises itself at a central service, the LOOKUP service
Lookup in SOAs

- Developers usually assign logical OBJECT IDS to identify services.
- But they are only valid in the local server application context.
- An ABSOLUTE OBJECT REFERENCE extends OBJECT IDS to include location information, such as host name and port.
- The LOOKUP pattern plays a central role in a SOA:
  - Services are published in a service directory.
  - Clients can lookup services.
- LOOKUP is queried for properties (e.g. provided as key/value pairs) of the service and other details.
- Usually clients can lookup the ABSOLUTE OBJECT REFERENCES of a service.
A service client is often itself a service provider, leading to the composition of services.

Example:
Service contracts

- Central idea: Services reflect a contract between the service provider and service clients

- Concept derives from the design-by-contract concept:
  - Originally developed for software modules
  - An approach to design in which each method has a contract with its callers regarding preconditions, postconditions and invariants

- Service contracts define the interaction between service client and service provider

- Intention: a service needs to be specified a step further than simple remote interactions, such as RPC-based invocations in a middleware
Elements of service contracts

- Communication protocols
- Message types, operations, operation parameters, and exceptions
- Message formats, encodings, and payload protocols
- Pre- and post-conditions, sequencing requirements, side-effects, etc.
- Operational behavior, legal obligations, service-level agreements, etc.
- Directory service
- ...

Note: Not all of these contract elements can be expressed with today’s Web services implementations easily
Expressing the elements of the service contract

- A service contract is realized by a mixture of explicit and implicit specifications

- Implicit, non-electronic specifications are inconvenient for the technical specification elements though (e.g. ABSOLUTE OBJECT REFERENCES distributed by hand)

- Some elements are often specified only implicitly or non-electronically:
  - Documentation of the services behaviour and its implied semantics
  - Business agreements
  - Quality of service (QoS) guarantees
  - Legal obligations
  - ...

- Might be needed in electronic form, e.g. to verify or monitor the quality of the service (e.g. using the pattern QOS OBSERVER)
The role of Interface Descriptions in service contracts

- Communication channels and messages are usually described with INTERFACE DESCRIPTIONS.

- INTERFACE DESCRIPTION pattern:
  - Describes the interface of remote objects (services)
  - Serves as the contract between CLIENT PROXY and INVOKER
  - Used to enable code generation or runtime configuration techniques

- The INTERFACE DESCRIPTION of a SOA needs to be more sophisticated than the INTERFACE DESCRIPTIONS of (OO-)RPC distributed object middleware, however

- Needs to be able to describe a wide variety of message types, formats, encodings, payload, communication protocols, etc.
Example: WSDL

- **Service Interface Specification**
  - Port Type
  - Operation
  - Message
  - Operation contains inputs and outputs
  - Message describes invocation
  - Message describes encoding
  - Operation describes invocation
  - Port Type contains Port
  - Message contains Port

- **Service Access Specification**
  - Port Type contains Port
  - Service contains Port
  - Port implements Binding
  - Binding describes formats and protocols
  - Binding describes encoding

- **Endpoint Specification**
  - Service contains Port
  - Port contains Service
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Example: WSDL generation in Axis (1)

From the WSDL INTERFACE DESCRIPTION we generate the CLIENT PROXY and INVOKER code.
Example: WSDL generation in Axis (2)

Interface: wsdIDate.ws.SimpleDate
Implementation: wsdIDate.ws.SimpleDateImpl

Interface: wsdIDate.ws.SimpleDate
Implementation: wsdIDate.ws.WsdlDateSoapBindingImpl

Interface: wsdIDate.ws.SimpleDate
Implementation: wsdIDate.ws.WsdlDateSoapBindingStub
Lookup and service contracts

A SOA lookup service might offer not only the ABSOLUTE OBJECT REFERENCES, but also elements of the service contract:

- INTERFACE DESCRIPTION of the service
- a location where the INTERFACE DESCRIPTION can be downloaded
- other metadata about the service (e.g. described using domain-specific schemas or ontologies, as for instance industry-specific XML schemas like OFX or MISMO)
- elements of the service contract, such as operational behaviour, legal obligations, and service-level agreements
Many possible ways to realize lookup with Web Services

UDDI is an automated directory service that allows one to register services and lookup services

All UDDI specifications use XML to define data structures

An UDDI registry includes four types of documents:
  - A business entity is a UDDI record for a service provider
  - A business service represents one or more deployed Web Services
  - A technical model (tModel) can be associated with business entities or services
  - A binding template binds the access point of a Web Service and its tModel

UDDI allows a service provider to register information about itself and the services it provides
Example: Semantic lookup service

- Server Application
  - Service
  - Service Announcement
- Client Application
  - Client
  - Query Script
- Remote Lookup Service Interface
- Semantic Lookup Service
- Domain-Specific Interface
- Object-Oriented XOTcl Interface
- Redland RDF Store
  - RDF Graph
Service interface and service adapter (1)

- If SOA is used within larger client and server applications for integration purposes, then it is advisable to introduce:
  - a service interface to the server application and
  - a service adapter on the client side

- Both are separated from the rest of the application and encapsulate all communication issues

- This way the client and server applications are isolated from changes in the service contract or the SOA in general

- Note: Service interface and adapter encapsulate service contracts
Service interface and service adapter (2)

- Service adapter can be realized using the PROXY pattern
- I.e.: service adapter is a remote proxy to the service interface
- Service interface wraps the server application, following the COMPONENT WRAPPER pattern
Important task is handling synchronization issues:

- Services are sometimes message-oriented, sometimes they are RPC-oriented
- For realizing messages, sometimes reliable messaging protocols are used, sometimes unreliable asynchronous RPC is used

Client and server applications might support many different service adapters and service interfaces, supporting different models

- On client side, invocation asynchrony patterns or messaging patterns can be used
- Service interface on server side must receive asynchronous messages, perform the invocation (and perhaps wait synchronously for the result), and then send a reply message to the client
Example: Indigo ports and channels

Any process can send/receive messages → ports/channels are used for realizing service interfaces und service adapters
SOA layers and basic remoting architecture
A look inside the message processing architecture of a SOA

- SOAs generally have a highly symmetrical architecture on client side and server side
- Architecture follows the LAYERS pattern:
  - *Service composition* (optional): composition of services, service orchestration, service coordination, service federation, business process management (BPM)
  - *Client applications and service providers*
  - *Remoting*: middleware functionalities of a SOA (for instance a Web services framework), follows a BROKER architecture
  - *Communication*: defines the basic message flow and manages the operating system resources, such as connections, handles, or threads
- In addition, there are a number of orthogonal extension tasks, such as: management functionalities for services, security of services, description of services
SOA layers

Orthogonal Aspects
- Managing
- Security
- Service Description

Layers
- Service Composition Layer (Orchestration/Coordination/Federation/BPM)
- Client Application/Service Provider Layer
  - Invocation Layer
  - Adaptation Layer
  - Request Handling Layer
  - Communication Layer
Example: Typical Web Services stack

- **Processes**
  - Business Flow: BPELWS, WS-Coordination, WS-Transaction, WSFL, Xlang, Discovery/Publication: UDDI

- **Service Description**
  - WSDL

- **Messages**
  - SOAP Extensions: Reliability, Correlation, Transactions, ..., SOAP

- **Communications**
  - HTTP, FTP, SMTP, Messaging (JMS, ...), IIOP, ...

**Base Techniques**
- XML, DTD, XML Schema

**Management**
- Security
Remoting layer

- A **BROKER** hides and mediates all communication between the objects or components of a system
- Remoting patterns detail the **BROKER** architecture
- The remoting layer consists itself of three layers:
  - invocation
  - adaptation
  - request handling
Basic remoting patterns

- REQUESTOR constructs invocation on client side
- CLIENT PROXY supports the same interface as the remote object, translates the local invocation into parameters for the REQUESTOR, and triggers the invocation
- INVOKER accepts invocations on server side and performs the invocation on the targeted remote object
- SERVER REQUEST HANDLER deals with all communication issues of a server application
- CLIENT REQUEST HANDLER handles network connections for all requestors within a client
- MARSHALLER is responsible for marshaling/demarshaling invocations on client and server side
Basic remoting patterns

1. submit request
2. marshal request
3. send
4. forward
5. invoke
6. unmarshal
7. invoke operation
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Example: Structure of the Leela communication framework

```
1..* clientProtocolPlugIns

Peer

* peers

leelaApp

«instmixin»

Requestor

requestor

leelaApp

Invoker

* invokers

RequestHandler

1..* serverProtocolPlugIns

ProtocolPlugInClient

SOAPPlugInClient

ProtocolPlugInServer

SOAPPlugInServer
```
SOA variation points and adaptation
SOA variation points: Overview

[Diagram of SOA variation points with labels for Client and Server, including Service Client, Requestor, Invocation Interceptor, Client Request Handler, Protocol Plug-ins, Network, Service Provider, Invoker, Server Request Handler, and Backend.]
Communication protocol adaptation

- A SOA allows for a number of communication protocols to be used
- And: different styles of communication, such as synchronous RPC, asynchronous RPC, messaging, publish/subscribe, etc.
- Thus, on the communication layer, we require a high flexibility regarding the protocols used
- Variation at the communication layer is usually handled via PROTOCOL PLUG-INS:
  - PROTOCOL PLUG-INS extend the CLIENT REQUEST HANDLER and SERVER REQUEST HANDLER with support for multiple, exchangeable communication protocols
  - They provide a common interface to allow them to be configured from the higher layers
Example: Protocol integration in Web Services frameworks

- Heterogeneity of communication protocols of Web Service frameworks
  - Most Web Service frameworks provide for some extensibility at this layer
  - Slightly different REQUEST HANDLER/PROTOCOL PLUG-IN architectures

- In the default case HTTP is used as a communication protocol

- SOAP also allows for other communication protocols

- For instance: Axis supports PROTOCOL PLUG-INS for HTTP, Java Messaging Service (JMS), SMTP, and local Java invocations

- Protocol plug-ins are responsible for implementing a message queue, if needed (e.g. JMS-based messaging)
Adaptation of message processing

- Adapting the message processing is necessary . . .
  - to handle various control tasks, like management and logging
  - to handle pervasive tasks, like security
  - to deal with multiple payload formats with different marshalling rules

- These tasks need to be flexibly configurable

- Often realized using the INVOCATION INTERCEPTOR pattern:
  - INVOCATION INTERCEPTORS are automatically triggered before and after request and reply messages pass the INVOKER or REQUESTOR
  - The INTERCEPTOR intercepts the message at these spots and can add services to the invocation

- Usually: same INVOCATION INTERCEPTOR architecture on client and server side
Example: Invocation Interceptor on server side

1) invoke
2) before invocation (name, params, ...)
3) before invocation (name, params, ...)
4) invoke
5) after invocation (name, params, ...)
6) after invocation (name, params, ...)

Process A
Client

Process B
Invoker
Remote Object
AuthenticationInterceptor
LoggingInterceptor

Machine Boundary
Invocation contexts

- For many tasks, we need to pass additional information between client and server
  - E.g.: For an authentication interceptor on the server side we require additional information to be supplied by the client side: the security credentials (such as user name and password)
  - These can be provided by an INVOCATION INTERCEPTOR on client side
  - However, how to transport this information from client to server?

- This is the task of the pattern INVOCATION CONTEXT:
  - The INVOCATION CONTEXT bundles contextual information in an extensible data structure
  - It is transferred between client and remote object with every remote invocation
Example: Adaptation of message processing in Apache Axis

Concerns on client and server side:

– there are many different, orthogonal tasks to be performed for a message,

– there is a symmetry of the tasks to be performed for request and response,

– similar problems occur on client side and server side, and

– the invocation scheme and add-ons have to be flexibly extensible.

Solution: Combination of the patterns: REQUESTOR, INVOKER, INVOCATION INTERCEPTOR, CLIENT/SERVER REQUEST HANDLER, INVOCATION CONTEXT.

INTERCEPTORS are implemented as COMMANDS are ordered in a chain
Example: Apache Axis’s message processing architecture
Example: Apache Axis’s message context structure
Example: Log handler in Apache Axis

public class LogHandler extends BasicHandler {
    ...
    public void invoke(MessageContext msgContext)
        throws AxisFault {
        ...
        if (msgContext.getPastPivot() == false) {
            start = System.currentTimeMillis();
        } else {
            logMessages(msgContext);
        }
    }
    ...
}
Example: Handler configuration with deployment descriptors in Apache Axis

```xml
<handler
    name="logger"
    type="java:org.apache.axis.handlers.LogHandler"/>
...
<chain name="myChain"/>
    <handler type="logger"/>
    <handler type="authentication"/>
</chain>
...
<service name="DateService" provider="java:RPC">
    ...
    <requestFlow>
        <handler type="myChain"/>
    </requestFlow>
</service>
```
Service provider adaptation (1)

• Service provider = remote object realizing the service

• Often the service provider does not realize the service functionality solely, but instead uses one or more backends

• When a SOA is used for integration tasks, it should support multiple backend types

→ Only the service interfaces are exposed and service internals are hidden from the service client

→ Integration of any kind of backend with one common service provider model
Service provider adaptation needs to be supported by:

- Remote objects realizing the service and
- INVOKER that is used for invoking them

Common realization:

- One INVOKER type for each backend type
- Make INVOKERS flexibly exchangeable (e.g. using deployment descriptors)
INVOKERS used in this way realize the pattern COMPONENT WRAPPER:

- **COMPONENT WRAPPER**: wrap an external component using a first-class object of the programming language

- **Use of COMPONENT WRAPPERS** gives the application a central, white-box access point to the component

- Component access can be customized without interfering with the client or the component implementation

- Because all components are integrated in the same way, a variation point for white-box extension by component’s clients is provided for each component in a system
Example: Apache Axis providers

- Almost all Web Services frameworks provide some dynamic form of deployment

- In Axis, a provider actually invokes the Web Services (a pluggable INVOKER)

- Many different providers are implemented in Axis, including those for Java, CORBA, EJB, JMS, RMI, ...

- Configured using the deployment descriptor; e.g. to select the RPC provider:

```xml
<deployment xmlns="http://xml.apache.org/axis/wsdd/
    xmlns:java="http://xml.apache.org/axis/wsdd/providers/java">
    <service name="DateService" provider="java:RPC">
        <parameter name="className" value="simpleDateService.DateService"/>
        <parameter name="allowedMethods" value="getDate"/>
    </service>
</deployment>
```
Service provider adaptation requires lifecycle & resource management

- Service providers and invokers need to be tightly integrated with the LIFECYCLE MANAGER: Central place for lifecycle management in the SOA

- INVOKER selects the best-suited lifecycle strategy pattern for the service
  - STATIC INSTANCES: live from application startup to its termination
  - PER-REQUEST INSTANCES: live only as long as a single invocation, advisable for most systems that access a backend
  - CLIENT-DEPENDENT INSTANCES: when session state needs to be maintained between invocations; the CLIENT DEPENDENT INSTANCE must implement a session model and a LEASING model compatible with the model of the backend

- The LIFECYCLE MANAGER should also handle resource management tasks, such as POOLING or LAZY ACQUISITION
Example: Lifecycle handling in Axis

Axis supports the following lifecycle patterns using a scope option chosen in the deployment descriptor:

- **PER-REQUEST INSTANCE**: default, request scope
- **STATIC INSTANCE**: application scope
- **CLIENT-DEPENDENT INSTANCE**: session scope
  - Sessions are supported either by HTTP cookies or by communication protocol independent - SOAP headers
  - Each session object has a timeout (which can be set to a certain amount of milliseconds). After the timeout expires, the session is invalidated. A method touch can be invoked on the session object, which renews the lease.
Service client adaptation (1)

- Service clients should also be adaptable
- Goals are different than on the server side:
  - independence of service realization
  - loose coupling
- Service client adaptation is mainly reached by LOOKUP of services and well-defined INTERFACE DESCRIPTIONS
Service client adaptation (2)

- Other aspects of service client adaptation:
  - Flexible (e.g. on-the-fly) generation of CLIENT PROXIES
  - Direct use of REQUESTORS to construct invocations on-the-fly

- Client must be adapted to how the result is sent back (if there is any)
  - Synchronous blocking
  - Client invocation asynchrony patterns: FIRE AND FORGET, SYNC WITH SERVER, POLL OBJECT, and RESULT CALLBACK
Example: Service client adaptation and client-side asynchrony

- Axis does not support client-side asynchrony patterns without using a messaging protocol


- Two kinds of REQUESTORS:
  - one for synchronous invocations
  - one for asynchronous invocations
Example: SAIWS – Invocation handlers

AsyncClientProxy
- void invoke (...)
- ...

ClientInvocationHandler
- String endPointURL
- String operationName
- Object[] arguments
- QName returnType
- Call constructCall ()

SyncInvocationHandler
- Object invoke ()
- ...

FireAndForgetHandler
- void run ()
- ...

AsyncInvocationHandler
- AsyncHandler
- «interface» Runnable
- handlerObj
- *
- 1

AsyncHandler
- «interface» Runnable
- handlerObj
- *
- 1
Overview: Remoting Patterns in typical Web Services architectures
SOA and business processes
Business process management

- Process Engineering aims at optimizing the business processes of an organization
  - Business processes need to be implemented quickly
  - Cope with a dynamic business environment

- Latest definitions of the term Business Process Management (BPM) illustrate that workflow technology brings together the formerly separate worlds of . . .
  - organizational design
  - technical design

Business Process Management implies, on a technical level, the design of technological platforms that allow organizational flexibility
BPM and flexible technology platforms

- Design of flexible technology platforms for BPM is already strongly demanded by many industries
  - Time to react on organizational change requirements is becoming shorter and shorter
  - IT of an organization is the key enabling factor
  - Organizationally inflexible technology implies cost-intense implementation of organizational changes
- Many enterprises are shifting to process-oriented organizations
- IT platforms have to consider this process approach conceptually
  - It is important to address the link between business processes and SOA
Process engineering & SOA

- At the top layer of the SOA architecture: introduce the decoupling of process control logic by a service orchestration layer

→ Process-driven concept for SOA
  - Decoupling process logic implies another level of organizational flexibility
  - Perspectives of technical architecture and organizational architecture merge via the process paradigm
A high-level pattern perspective (1)

- Most abstract pattern perspective: several patterns that follow a process-oriented approach:
  - MANAGED COLLABORATION
  - MANAGED PUBLIC PROCESSES
  - MANAGED PUBLIC AND PRIVATE PROCESSES
  - EXPOSED BUSINESS SERVICES

- Mapped to SOA these patterns address variations of service orchestration within an enterprise or across enterprise boundaries

- However: they represent design guidelines at a high level where principle collaborative decisions are made at the business level
  - Explain what collaborative patterns are appropriate for a certain business problem
  - Help finding appropriate patterns of service collaboration
Concerning integration of SOA and business processes there are several important integration patterns, such as:

- ROUTER
- BROKER
- MANAGED PROCESS

General patterns that are, in combination, suitable for bridging the two views of SOA and business processes

On the following slide we will elaborate on this in more detail
Integration of services and processes
Integrating services and processes

- Fundamentally, a process-aware information system is shaped by 5 perspectives:
  - data (or information)
  - resource (or organization)
  - control flow (or process)
  - task (or function)
  - operation (or application)

- Basic Mapping to the SOA approach:
  - services are a specialization of the general operation perspective
  - process control flow orchestrates the services via different process steps
  - operations executed by tasks in a control flow correspond to service invocations
Overview: Link between SOA and workflow processes

- Business Object
- Control Data
- Data
- Operation
- Task
- Service

- Control Flow
  - strictly structured or flexibly structured
  - transforms
  - uses
  - invokes
  - fulfills

- Resource
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Mapping the data perspective

- In the data perspective distinguish between:
  - process control data
  - business objects that are transformed via the process flow
- Example:
  - Business object: a customer order that is being processed via a process flow
  - The actual processing of that order is controlled by control data that depicts the routing rules, for instance
  - Each process step can be interpreted as a certain state of the business object
- The SOA’s service orchestration has to deal with control data and business objects being transformed and passed from one orchestration step to the next one
Patterns for the data perspective (1)

Business objects:

- Business objects are manipulated via the process steps (represented by services)
- Business objects following the ENTITY pattern represent entities in a REPOSITORY
- In the REPOSITORY: business objects depict a CANONICAL DATA MODEL for storing process relevant business data

Process control data

- Many process engines struggle with changes to control data at runtime

→ GENERIC PROCESS CONTROL STRUCTURE pattern: design of a control data structure that is unlikely to change
Integrating business objects and process control data:

- Business objects can concurrently be modified by different process instances

  → BUSINESS OBJECT REFERENCES must be part of the control data

- Pointers to business objects in a REPOSITORY and the concrete business objects can thus be accessed concurrently via these references
Business objects being accessed via process steps

![Diagram showing business objects and process steps]

- Business Object Repository
- Object A
- Object B
- Object C

Actions:
- write
- read
- read
- modify
Mapping the control flow perspective

- Control flow perspective is captured by a process engine
- In order to create the link between an activity of a process and a service, integration logic is required (represented by a process flow)
- We distinguish between two general types of process flow:
  - Macroflow representing the higher-level business process
  - Microflow addressing the process flow within a macroflow activity
- Note: this is a conceptual decision in order to be able to design process steps at the right level of granularity
  - Macroflow \( \sim \) long running business process level
  - Microflow \( \sim \) short running, more technical level

\[\rightarrow\] Important for separating the business problems from the technical problems
Adding macroflow and microflow to the mapping
Control flow design (at microflow and macroflow level) usually follows (some of) the workflow patterns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Control Flow Patterns</th>
<th>Advanced Branching and Synchronization Patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Sequence</td>
<td>- Multi-choice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Parallel Split</td>
<td>- Synchronizing merge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Synchronization</td>
<td>- Multi-merge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Exclusive Choice</td>
<td>- Discriminator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Patterns</th>
<th>Cancellation Patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Arbitrary cycles</td>
<td>- Cancel activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Implicit termination</td>
<td>- Cancel case</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Based Patterns</th>
<th>Patterns Involving Multiple Instances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Deferred choice</td>
<td>- Multiple instances without synchronization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Interleaved parallel routing</td>
<td>- Multiple instances with a priori design time knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Milestone</td>
<td>- Multiple instances with a priori design runtime knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Multiple instances without a priori design runtime knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further architectural control flow patterns

- **ACTIVITY INTERRUPT**: interrupting the processing of an activity without the loss of data
- **PROCESS INTERRUPT TRANSITION**: terminating a process in a controlled way
- **PROCESS BASED ERROR MANAGEMENT**: managing errors returned by an invoked service via the process flow
Patterns for modeling the microflow

- At the microflow level, we must:
  - route requests of service invocations sent by a process-step to the right endpoint
  - route the corresponding responses backwards
  - perform data transformation

- Technical solutions:
  - The request for service invocation sent by the process-step must be routed to the right endpoint, which is done by a BROKER
  - In message-oriented communication between a process engine and a service, various messaging patterns are used: MESSAGE ROUTER, MESSAGE TRANSLATOR, and their specializations like CONTENT-BASED ROUTER, DYNAMIC ROUTER, ENVELOPE WRAPPER, CONTENT ENRICHER
Process service levels (1)

- A process flow orchestrates the service invocations
- A business process may be exposed itself as a service
  - A process has a well defined service INTERFACE DESCRIPTION

→ The result are several levels of service invocation:
  - business process service – a business process being exposed as a service
  - process integration service – a process integration logic at the microflow level
  - business application service – a service that is offering functionality of a business application
Process service levels (2)
ENTERPRISE SERVICE BUS (ESB):

- Architectural pattern that integrates concepts of SOA, EAI, and workflow management
- Based on MESSAGE BUS pattern

Various components connect to a service bus via their service interfaces

Service adapters are used to connect those components to the bus

Service bus handles service requests

Represents a message-based ROUTER and/or BROKER
Enterprise Service Bus (ESB)
Service requests are routed to appropriate components connected to the bus, where services are invoked → ESB can act as a:

- CONTENT-BASED ROUTER
- MESSAGE FILTER
- DYNAMIC ROUTER
- AGGREGATOR
- MESSAGE BROKER
- … or other message routing patterns
Message transformation patterns are applied by the bus to integrate different service interfaces:

- NORMALIZER
- ENVELOPE WRAPPER
- CONTENT ENRICHER

Often a repository of business objects is connected to the service bus.
Process integration services = connection between a macroflow activity of a business process and a service interface in the backend

- Backend services can be developed and enhanced independently over time
- The result of the service invocation may be stored in a business object
- Control data, based on the service result, containing the BUSINESS OBJECT REFERENCE, must be passed to the calling macroflow activity

→ Integration logic is required to establish the communication between the backend service and the macroflow activity
  - routing
  - data transformation

→ Again, done using message routing patterns
Process integration services (2)

- Business objects relevant to microflows and macroflows form the CANONICAL DATA MODEL for storing process relevant business data
- Flexible concept for process integration services that can be adapted according to changing workload
Process integration services for process engines (1)

In larger architectures there might be several process engines involved for microflows and macroflows that need to be connected

- Introduce a process integration service ADAPTER for each macroflow engine
- A MESSAGE DISPATCHER distributes process integration service requests to different microflow engines
- Integration logic is executed by the PROCESS MANAGER of the microflow engine
- The PROCESS MANAGER coordinates the integration steps and invokes the business services in the backend
Process integration services for process engines (2)

- A REPOSITORY of process integration adapters contains all available adapters

- REPOSITORY and MESSAGE DISPATCHER are CONFIGURABLE COMPONENTS → thus administration and configuration is possible during runtime

- The request and responses are related to a specific macroflow activity by an ASYNCHRONOUS COMPLETION TOKEN (or CORRELATION IDENTIFIER)

- Often there is only one macroflow and microflow engine → dispatcher(s) might be superfluous
Macroflow/microflow engine integration
Cross-organizational processes

1. lookup process service
2. invoke process service

Enterprise A

Enterprise B

Enterprise C

Enterprise D

1. lookup process service
2. invoke process service
Composing SOAs
Composing multiple SOAs

- In the enterprise scope, often multiple SOAs and other (distributed) systems need to be composed to work together.

- Internally follow a similar approach as process integration services discussed before:
  - Wrap another system just like the wrapping of backends.
  - The backend does not need to be a legacy system or another non-SOA participant.
  - The backend can be another service as well.
  - This kind of service composition is a distributed variant of the pattern COMPONENT WRAPPER.
Wrapping SOAs

- **Distributed COMPONENT WRAPPER:**

  ![Diagram of Distributed Component Wrapper]

- In case the server cannot be adapted, the wrapper needs to be provided in the client to adapt to an interface provided by a server:

  ![Diagram of Wrapper in Client]
Gateways

- Alternative to client-based or server-based wrappers: gateways
- Gateway = intermediary component, outside of client and server
  - Translates non-SOA invocations into SOA messages, and vice versa
  - Also used for extra tasks, such as routing, mapping RPC invocations to asynchronous messages (queuing up invocations), mapping asynchronous messages to RPC invocations (de-queuing invocations), temporarily storing messages, logging, etc.
LOCATION FORWARDER pattern: forward invocations to a remote object in another server application

- E.g.: remote objects that the INVOKER cannot resolve locally
- LOCATION FORWARDER looks up the actual location of the remote object based on its OBJECT ID
- Result: ABSOLUTE OBJECT REFERENCE of another remote object

LOCATION FORWARDER has two options:
- Send the client an update notification about the new location
- Transparently forward the invocation to the new location.

Can be used as part of a SOA service to connect to other services or backends

Alternatively, it can be used on a gateway, e.g. to realize routing or fault tolerance measures
Location forwarder (2)
Sometimes a number of different frontends need to access one service

Special variant: each of the frontends is a different channel, such as:

- Web services invocation channel
- Web presentations channel
- CORBA channel
- proprietary protocol channel
- …

Introduce a SERVICE ABSTRACTION LAYER: extra layer to the application logic tier containing the logic to receive and delegate requests.
Service abstraction layer (2)

Frontend Channel 1
Frontend Channel 2
Frontend Channel 3

Service Abstraction Layer

Service
Service
Service
Example: Composing HTTP, MHP, Web Service, and Legacy Channels

- **Legacy Client**
- **Web Service Client**
- **MHP Client**

**Server Application**
- Component Wrapper 1
- Component Wrapper 2
- Component Wrapper 3

**Legacy System**
- Service 1
- Service 2
- Service 3
Conclusions

- Better understanding of service-oriented architectures by mapping them to the conceptual space of patterns from various domains
- Patterns are successful solutions that have proven their value in numerous architectures
- We surveyed and explained the “timeless” concepts in SOAs, apart from technology details
  - Technically detailed but yet technology-neutral approach
  - Informally described the cornerstones of a SOA reference architecture
- Because patterns are solution guidelines, the patterns are also useful as SOA design guidelines
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