



# **Chair of Future Communication** Prof. Dr. K. Tutschku

# **MODELING AND EVALUATION OF VIDEO STREAMING** FLORIAN METZGER, ALBERT RAFETSEDER

HTTP STREAMING PRIMER

**Differences of RTP and HTTP streaming RTP streaming** 

**Measuring HTTP streaming** 

**Necessity of buffering** 

- Classical "textbook" approach, well researched behavior
- UDP and loss tolerant encoding enables implicit quality adaptation to current network conditions
- UDP transport prevents usage in the WWW
- Server-side "push-based" control scheme

#### HTTP streaming

- Reality today, in wide use (up to 50% of peak traffic)
- Multitude of different protocol incarnations, defined only by server and player behavior
- Client-centric "pull-based" control scheme
- Use of TCP means reliability, e.g., no intrinsic frame dropping
- RTP measuring metrics not applicable
- Network layers could influence new streaming approaches differently
- Hard to compare specific implementations
- Find generic mechanisms common to all
- $\rightarrow$  Buffering and playback strategies
- Incorporate every possible behavior into a single testbed emulation
- Find suitable comparison metrics
- Stalling duration
- Number of stalls
- Inter-arrival time of stalls
- Derive user quality from basic metrics

- Video decoder would need only milliseconds of data at once
- Network jitter and VBR cause variations in the received data rate
- Playback stalls when buffer runs out of data  $\rightarrow$  large buffer!
- Playback should start as soon as possible  $\rightarrow$  small buffer!
- Model playback as a simple buffer fill level equation:

$$buffer(t) = \sum_{0}^{t} data_{\text{received}} - \sum_{0}^{t} data_{\text{played}}$$

 Initial playback start time and restart time after empty buffer  $\rightarrow$  Governing factors in any non-skipping streaming playback strategy

## PLAYBACK MODELS & STRATEGIES



#### **Theoretical Strategies**

Demonstrate the range of possible stalling trade-offs, but are impractical in real situations.

#### Minimal buffering / Playback stalling

- Start immediately, stall immediately
- Starts playback as soon as there is at least one complete frame in the buffer



YouTube Flash player strategy

- Start playing when buffer contains more than two seconds of video data
- If stalled, buffer at least five seconds video data before restarting
- Compromise between small waiting times and number of stalls

- Shortest initial playback delay
- Optimal in controlled situations with sufficient transmission rates at any time

#### **Playback without interruption / Initial playback delay**

- Download exactly as much as needed to play back without any stalls
- Lower limit of total stalling time and number of stalls
- Impossible to implement requires perfect knowledge
- Could be approximated by guessing transmission and bitrate



#### HTML5 video strategy in Firefox 4

- Factor in moving averages of transmission and playback bitrates
- If MA<sub>transmission</sub> > MA<sub>bitrate</sub> then wait until 20s of video is in the buffer or for 20s in total, else 30s
- Limits stalling to few but long events, requires large buffer





# OUTLOOK

#### **Adaptive Streaming Emulation**

- Currently used strategies may not be the optimal choice for high latency networks (e.g. mobile networks)
- Adaptive streaming protocols as a possible solution
- Already many variations available, e.g. DASH, Smooth Streaming, HTTP Live Streaming
- Has a larger parameter space to observe and model Segment retrieval times (i.e. client side throttling)



#### Measurement pass

- Request content from streaming server through QoS model in network emulator
- Record network and decoded video playback trace **Emulation pass**
- Use trace files to do buffer fill level calculations according to playback startegies
- Can apply multiple emulated strategies to the same network trace
- Evaluate stalling statistics: stalling duration and frequency as potential input for QoE estimations

Impact of latency/loss on stalling characteristics

- Frequency: YT/FF suffer on average from one additional stall at a latency larger than 1000ms
- Lower total stalling limit given by theoretical strategies
- Impact of packet loss greater than 1% more noticeable, possibly due to TCP timeouts and retransmissions
- Practical implementations must make trade-offs between frequency and durations

- Quality adaptation through alternate segment encodings
- Transfer emulation testbed approach to adaptive mechanisms
- Explore strategies, trade-offs, and evaluation metrics feasible

### Mobile Core Network Dataset

- Investigation of a one week mobile operator core network dataset
- Includes user traffic flow data, HTTP specifics and GTP signaling traffic between SGSN and GGSN Attempt to correlate mobile device types to GTP signaling patterns
- Determine PDP context life cycle and overhead Has streaming traffic a noticeable impact on the core network? How can it be modeled?