

Chapter 13

Swarm Intelligence for Dimensionality Reduction: How to Improve the Non-Negative Matrix Factorization with Nature-Inspired Optimization Methods

Andreas Janecek
University of Vienna, Austria

Ying Tan
Peking University, China

ABSTRACT

Low-rank approximations allow for compact representations of data with reduced storage and runtime requirements and reduced redundancy and noise. The Non-Negative Matrix Factorization (NMF) is a special low-rank approximation that allows for additive parts-based, interpretable representation of the data. Various properties of NMF are similar to Swarm Intelligence (SI) methods: indeed, most NMF objective functions and most SI fitness functions are non-convex, discontinuous, and may possess many local minima. This chapter summarizes efforts on improving convergence, approximation quality, and classification accuracy of NMF using five different meta-heuristics based on SI and evolutionary computation. The authors present (1) new initialization strategies for NMF, and (2) an iterative update strategy for NMF. The applicability of the approach is illustrated on data sets coming from the areas of spam filtering and email classification. Experimental results show that both optimization strategies are able to improve NMF in terms of faster convergence, lower approximation error, and/or better classification accuracy.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-6328-2.ch013

INTRODUCTION

Low-rank approximations are utilized in several content based retrieval and data mining applications, such as text and multimedia mining, web search, etc. and achieve a more compact representation of the data with only limited loss in information. They reduce storage and runtime requirements, and also reduce redundancy and noise in the data representation while capturing the essential associations. The Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF, (Lee and Seung 1999)) leads to a low-rank approximation which satisfies non-negativity constraints. NMF approximates a data matrix A by $A \approx WH$, where W and H are the *NMF factors*. NMF requires *all entries* in A , W and H to be zero or positive. Contrary to other low-rank approximations such as the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), these constraints force NMF to produce so-called “additive parts-based” representations. This is an impressive benefit of NMF, since it makes the interpretation of the NMF factors much easier than for factors containing positive and negative entries (Berry, Browne et al. 2007) (Janecek and Gansterer 2010) (Lee and Seung 1999).

The NMF is usually not unique if different initializations of the factors W and H are used. Moreover, there are several different NMF algorithms which all follow different strategies (e.g. mean squared error, least squares, gradient descent, etc.) and produce different results. Mathematically, the goal of NMF is to find a “good” (ideally the best) solution of an optimization problem with bound constraints in the form $\min_{x \in \Omega} f(x)$, where $f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the nonlinear objective function of NMF, and Ω is the feasible region (for NMF, Ω is restricted to non-negative values). f is usually not convex, discontinuous and may possess many local minima (Stadlthanner, Lutter et al. 2007). Since meta-heuristic optimization algorithms are known to be able to deal well with such difficulties they seem to be a promising

choice for improving the quality of NMF. Over the last decades nature-inspired meta-heuristics, including those based on swarm intelligence, have gained much popularity due to their applicability for various optimization problems. They benefit from the fact that they are able to find acceptable results within a reasonable amount of time for many complex, large and dynamic problems (Blackwell 2007). Although they lack the ability to guarantee the optimal solution for a given problem (comparably to NMF), it has been shown that they are able to tackle various kinds of real-world optimization problems (Chiong 2009). Meta-heuristics as well as the principles of NMF are in accordance with the *law of sufficiency* (Eberhart, Shi et al. 2001): If a solution to a problem is good enough, fast enough and cheap enough, then it is sufficient.

In this chapter we present two different strategies for improving the NMF using five optimization algorithms based on swarm intelligence and evolutionary computing: Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Genetic Algorithms (GA), Fish School Search (FSS), Differential Evolution (DE), and Fireworks Algorithm (FWA). All algorithms are population based and can be categorized into the fields of *swarm intelligence* (PSO, FSS, FWA), *evolutionary algorithms* (GA), and a combination thereof (DE). The goal is to find a solution with smaller overall error at convergence, and/or to speed up convergence of NMF (*i.e.* smaller approximation error for a given number of NMF iterations) compared to identical NMF algorithms without applied optimization strategy. Another goal is to increase the classification accuracy in cases where NMF is used as dimensionality reduction method for machine learning applications. The concepts of the two optimization strategies are the following: In the first strategy, meta-heuristics are used to initialize the factors W and H in order to minimize the NMF objective function *prior* to the factorization. The second strategy aims at iteratively improving the approximation quality of NMF during the first iterations.

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/swarm-intelligence-for-dimensionality-reduction/115309

Related Content

Population-Based vs. Single Point Search Meta-Heuristics for a PID Controller Tuning

Olympia Roeva, Tsonyo Slavov and Stefka Fidanova (2014). *Handbook of Research on Novel Soft Computing Intelligent Algorithms: Theory and Practical Applications* (pp. 200-233).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/population-based-vs-single-point-search-meta-heuristics-for-a-pid-controller-tuning/82693/

Metamodel-Based Optimum Design Examples of Structures

Kwon-Hee Lee and Ji-In Heo (2014). *Handbook of Research on Novel Soft Computing Intelligent Algorithms: Theory and Practical Applications* (pp. 667-676).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/metamodel-based-optimum-design-examples-of-structures/82708/

Scheduling Cellular Manufacturing Systems Using ACO and GA

Mohammad T. Taghavifard (2012). *International Journal of Applied Metaheuristic Computing* (pp. 48-64).

www.irma-international.org/article/scheduling-cellular-manufacturing-systems-using/64644/

Optimization Model and Algorithm Help to Screen and Treat Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Kun Zhao, Guantao Chen, Thomas Gift and Guoyu Tao (2010). *International Journal of Computational Models and Algorithms in Medicine* (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/optimization-model-algorithm-help-screen/51667/

Bring Out Your Data: The Evolution of the National Anesthesia Clinical Outcomes Registry (NACOR)

Thomas E. Grissom, Andrew DuKatz, Hubert A. Kordylewski and Richard P. Dutton (2011). *International Journal of Computational Models and Algorithms in Medicine* (pp. 51-69).

www.irma-international.org/article/bring-out-your-data/55493/